UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,727	05/07/2006	Zinoviy Dmitrievich Khomynets	V-337	5403
802 PATENTTM.U	7590 01/22/200 <b>S</b>	8	EXAMINER	
P. O. BOX 8278			ANDREWS, DAVID L	
PORTLAND, OR 97282-0788			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3672	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	10/595,727	KHOMYNETS, ZINOVIY DMITRIEVICH		
Office Action Guilliary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	DAVID ANDREWS	3672		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on      This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 07 May 2006 is/are: a) ☐  Applicant may not request that any objection to the of  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/7/2006</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte		

Application/Control Number: 10/595,727 Page 2

Art Unit: 3672

### **DETAILED ACTION**

The preliminary amendment filed 5/7/2006 has been entered.

## Specification

The abstract is objected to for referring to the purported merits of the invention (last sentence). Correction is required.

Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

It is suggested that the description of the "depressions" in the method claims be more clearly claimed as "pressure depressed zones" or some such language since it is not immediately clear what the "depressions" refer to. Further, in the method claims positive methods should be recited using gerunds. For example in claim 2, verbal phrases such as "are lowered", "is made", "are registered" should be changed to — lowering --, -- making --, and — registering --. Also in the method claim 2 there are many antecedent basis errors. For example, claim 2, line 2, the recitations "the ring", "the stepped through channel" and "the casing string" all lack proper antecedent basis. Since claim 2 is an independent claim it cannot refer back to another claim (i.e. claim 1) for basis.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-4 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art does not teach or suggest a well jet device and method of operation including a tubular with a surrounding sealing ring with a stepped channel, wherein the tubular has a jet device above the seal and a logging device below the seal, wherein the tubular has perforations below the seal and is movable within the seal.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Khomynets (US 2007/0081903 and 2004/0238164) disclose well jet devices similar in operation to instant application. Hoffman et al. (US 6,427,776) and Coleman (US 5,372,190) disclose jet pumps and Williams (US 2,946,565) discloses a jet pump used to pressure test a formation. However, the prior art does not teach or suggest a well jet device and method of operation including a tubular with a surrounding sealing ring with a stepped channel, wherein the tubular has a jet device above the seal and a logging device below the seal, wherein the tubular has perforations below the seal and is movable within the seal.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID ANDREWS whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/595,727 Page 5

Art Unit: 3672

(571)272-6558. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30am-

5pm and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on (571) 272-6999. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/David J. Bagnell/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3672

DLA

1/15/08